IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 240 of 2001

Between:

1. K.Mahender, S/o K.Yadaiah
2. B.Narsing Rao, S/o B.Shankar
3. T.Sreenivasu, S/o T.Satyanarayana

4. A.Suri Babu S/op Markendeyulu
5. B. Praveen Kumar, S/o Narayana,
6. E.Sreenivasa Rao, S/o Venkataiah,
7. S.Prashanth Kumar, S/o Prakash Rao,
8. Mohd. Sadique Baba S/o Md. Hussain,
9 K.Venkatesh, S/o Late Shankaraiah

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1.The South Central Zonal Office, Rep. by its Zonal Manager.
The Life Insurance Corporation of India Saifabad,]
Hyderavad.
2.The Divisional Office, Rep. byits Senior Divisional Manager,
The Life Insurance Corporation of India Saifabad
Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

For declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the case of the petitioners sponsored by the Employment Exchange for the test/interview to be held

on 11.01.2001 or thereafter for the post of Watchman/Class IV posts, as illegal and arbitrary, the present writ petition has been filed.

While admitting the writ petition, this court passed an interim order that pending further orders, the petitioners shall be permitted to appear the interview scheduled to be held on 11.01.2001 or thereafter, for the post of Watchman/Class-IV posts without insisting that their names should be sponsored from the employment exchange and interview them along with the other candidates sponsored by the employment exchange if they are otherwise found suitable.

In pursuance of the directions given by this Court, the petitioners have appeared for the interview.

As the main relief sought for by the petitioners in the writ petition has already been served, no cause survives in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(K.C. BHANU, J)

Date: 18.08.2005

Ksn